



About the Dataset

Name of the dataset(s)	data-triage-local-authority-third-party-planning-application-notification-corridors-T23
ID Number	TR100023
Date assessment started	14/05/2024
Description of dataset(s)	data-triage-local-authority-third-party-planning-application-notification-corridors-T23 Third party planning application notification corridors, to be used by Local Authority planning depts. to check where applications exist near to SSEN-T assets and then consult on
Status	Public

Constraints

Q.ID	Question	SME Area	Response	Can this be mitigated?	Mitigation Approach	SME Comments
1	Does our licence oblige us to publish this information?	Regulation	No			
2	Are there any Regulatory concerns with the sharing of this data/information?	Regulation	No			
3	Does this dataset contain personal data?	Privacy	No			
4	Is the data legally privileged (e.g. refers to a dispute or legal advice)?	Legal	No			
5	Is the data requested from, developed with or owned by a third party (e.g. a planning system, contractor, another SSE Business Unit)?	Data Owner	No			
6	Is the data covered by a confidentiality agreement/non disclosure agreement/data sharing agreement/other agreement?	Data Owner	Yes			
7	Have you sought written permission from the Third Party?	Legal	Not Applicable			
8	Is the data commercially sensitive (e.g. corporate information, financial figures, business plans, customer lists, account data, costs/prices)?	Commercial	No			
9	Are there any other concerns associated with sharing this dataset not covered above? Please note: Any built assets (if part of critical national infrastructure) and cables (all) should be considered sensitive, in whole or in part.	Data Owner	Yes	Yes	Guidance to be issued on how to interpret the data set.	Guidance to be issued on how to interpret the data set.

Risk

Q.ID	Question	SME Area	Inherent Likelihood	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Can this be mitigated?	Mitigation Approach	Residual Likelihood	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	SME Comments
10	Publishing this data set conflicts with existing regulatory submissions resulting in reputational damage and regulatory action or breach it's licence conditions.	Regulation	Low	Low	4						
11	Publishing this data set contains information that pose a national security risk. Please note: Any built assets (if part of critical national infrastructure) and cables (all) should be considered sensitive in whole or in part.	IT Security	Very High	Very High	25	Yes	Shapefiles do not contain any detailed information on cable data. Check to be completed ahead of publishing.	Low	Low	4	Shapefiles do not contain any detailed information on cable data. Check to be completed ahead of publishing.
12	Publishing this data set would enable someone with hostile intentions to compromise the security of SSEN Transmission systems and Network.	IT Security	Low	Low	4						
13	Publishing this dataset could damage the commercial interests of the company.	Commercial	Low	Low	4						
14	Publishing this data set could have an impact on commercial interest of our contractors/ developers or any other 3rd party.	Commercial	Low	Low	4						
15	Publishing this dataset would infringe competition law.	Legal	Low	Low	4						
16	Publishing this data set would result in a negative impact on consumers.	Consumer	Low	Low	4						
17	Sharing the data could	Legal	Low	Low	4						

17	Sharing the data could result in legal action.	Legal	Low	Low	4							
18	Publishing the dataset breaches an intellectual property license or other intellectual property agreement resulting in legal action against SSEN Transmission	Legal	Low	Low	4							
19	The data is inaccurate or misleading, resulting in a serious loss of reputation for SSEN Transmission.	Data Owner	High	High	16	Yes	Guidance document or specific naming convention to be used when publishing the data set.	Medium	Medium	9	Guidance document or specific naming convention to be used when publishing the data set.	
20	Personally identifiable information is published without a legal basis, resulting in reputational damage and regulatory action.	Privacy	N/A	N/A	0							
21	Are there any other risks you believe should be considered in deciding whether to publish this data? Please note: Any built assets (if part of critical national infrastructure) and cables (all) should be considered sensitive, in whole or in part.	Data Owner	High	High	16	Yes	Guidance document or specific naming convention to be used when publishing the data set.	Low	Medium	6	Guidance document or specific naming convention to be used when publishing the data set.	

Data Owner Feedback

Can this dataset be published?

Yes (unless the Data Owner believes any mitigations or restrictions would be appropriate)

Making reference to the documented risk ratings above and the opportunity of sharing this data, what is your justification for this conclusion?

This data set contains shapefiles for corridors in which we are to be notified about planning applications. These corridors cover buffers around our substations, OHL and cable routes. This dataset was created for local planning authorities and to be used in conjunction with the guidance that goes with it. To ensure the data is not misinterpreted a specific naming convention should be used when loading it to the ODP and a guidance document should be made available.

What actions are required before this dataset is published? (Include documenting limitations, information for users, and ongoing monitoring required)

Specific naming convention when uploading the shape files to the ODP. Additional guidance should also be made available to use in conjunction with the shape files.

Could we do anything to make this dataset more usable to the public? (e.g. providing definitions, adding in additional data)

Data to uploaded to the ODP.

How often should this data be updated? (e.g. once a year, monthly, quarterly)

Yearly.